Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COPY MAILED

DEC 0 3 2009

MICHAEL A. CANTOR, ESQ. CANTOR COLBURN LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Norbert Miller, et al. Application No. 09/769,829 Filed: January 24, 2001 Attorney Docket No. SWR-0037

ON PETITION

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed October 16, 2009, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for a failure to file an appeal brief (and fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)) within the time period provided in 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on June 19, 2006. On February 2, 2009, a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was filed; however, the petition was dismissed in a decision mailed April 8, 2009. In response, on October 16, 2009, the present petition was filed, wherein an explanation of the delay has been provided.

This application has been abandoned for an extended period of time. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting the statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg., at 53160 and 53178; 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office, at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109) (applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office).

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee of \$810, and the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114; (2) the petition fee of \$1,620; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3629 for processing of the RCE and for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the amendment submitted in accordance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions